

Automatisches Beweisen von Identitäten

Stefan Gerhold

30. Oktober 2006

The General Scheme

- ▶ Parametric sums

$$\sum_k \textit{summand}(n, k) = \textit{answer}(n)$$

- ▶ Parametric integrals

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \textit{integrand}(x, y) dy = \textit{answer}(x)$$

Zeilberger's Summation Algorithm (~ 1990)

- ▶ Goal: derive a recurrence for the sum $s(n) = \sum_k f(n, k)$.
- ▶ Summand must be *hypergeometric* in n and k : The quotients $\frac{f(n+1, k)}{f(n, k)}$ and $\frac{f(n, k+1)}{f(n, k)}$ must be rational functions of n and k .
- ▶ E.g., $f(n, k)$ a product of binomial coefficients
- ▶ Provides algorithmic proofs of many combinatorial identities

A Simple Application of Zeilberger's Algorithm

- ▶ Input: $f(n, k) := \binom{n}{k} x^k$
- ▶ Output (Δ_k is the forward difference operator):

$$-(x+1)f(n, k) + f(n+1, k) = \Delta_k \left(-\frac{k}{n-k+1} f(n, k) \right).$$

- ▶ Sum both sides for $k = 0, \dots, n+1$:

$$-(x+1) \sum_{k=0}^n f(n, k) + \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} f(n+1, k) = 0,$$

hence

$$\sum_{k=0}^n f(n, k) = (x+1)^n.$$

Example Gallery

$$\sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \binom{x-k+1}{k} \binom{x-2k}{n-k} = \begin{cases} 1 & n \text{ even} \\ 0 & n \text{ odd} \end{cases}$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}^2 = \binom{2n}{n}$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{2n} (-1)^k \binom{2n}{k}^3 = (-1)^n \frac{(3n)!}{n!^3}$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} \frac{x}{k+x} = \binom{x+n}{n}^{-1}$$

What Zeilberger's Algorithm Does

- ▶ We want to do the sum $\sum_k f(n, k)$.
- ▶ Define the forward shift $S_n f(n) := f(n + 1)$.
- ▶ Zb finds an identity

$$P(S_n, n)f(n, k) = (S_k - 1)Q(S_n, n, S_k)f(n, k)$$

with polynomials P and Q .

- ▶ Upon summation, the right-hand side vanishes:

$$P(S_n, n) \sum_k f(n, k) = 0.$$

- ▶ P and Q are constructed by solving a system of linear equations with rational function coefficients.

Rogers-Ramanujan Identities

Rogers (1894), Ramanujan, Schur (1917)

$$1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{k^2}}{(1-q)(1-q^2)\dots(1-q^k)} = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1-q^{5j+1})(1-q^{5j+4})}$$

$$1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{k(k+1)}}{(1-q)(1-q^2)\dots(1-q^k)} = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1-q^{5j+2})(1-q^{5j+3})}$$

- ▶ The formulas are the limits $n \rightarrow \infty$ of finite versions (Andrews 1974).
- ▶ Zeilberger (1990) gave a computer proof, greatly simplified by Paule (1994).

The Bieberbach Conjecture

- ▶ An injective holomorphic function $f : \{|z| < 1\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called *schlicht*, if $f(z) = z + \sum_{n \geq 2} a_n z^n$.
- ▶ Conjecture (Bieberbach 1916): $|a_n| \leq n$ for $n \geq 2$.
- ▶ Bieberbach (1916): $|a_2| \leq 2$.
- ▶ Nevanlinna (1920): Conjecture holds if image is star-shaped.
- ▶ Loewner (1923): $|a_3| \leq 3$.
- ▶ Littlewood (1925): $|a_n| \leq e \cdot n$.
- ▶ ...
- ▶ De Branges (1985) finally settled the conjecture.

The Bieberbach Conjecture

- ▶ De Branges' proof uses that a certain ${}_3F_2$ is non-negative.
- ▶ Askey, Gasper (1976)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(\alpha + 2)_n}{n!} \cdot {}_3F_2 \left(\begin{matrix} -n, n + 2 + \alpha, \frac{\alpha+1}{2} \\ \alpha + 1, \frac{\alpha+3}{2} \end{matrix} \middle| x \right) = \\ \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-k}{2} \rfloor} \frac{(\frac{1}{2})_j (\frac{\alpha}{2} + 1)_{n-j} (\frac{\alpha+3}{2})_{n-2j} (\alpha + 1)_{n-2j}}{j! (\frac{\alpha+3}{2})_{n+j} (\frac{\alpha+1}{2})_{n-2j} (n - 2j)!} \\ \cdot {}_3F_2 \left(\begin{matrix} 2j - n, n - 2j + \alpha - 1, \frac{\alpha+1}{2} \\ \alpha + 1, \frac{\alpha+2}{2} \end{matrix} \middle| x \right). \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ The identity can be proven with Zeilberger's algorithm and implies the inequality.

The Irrationality of $\zeta(3)$

- ▶ $\zeta(2) = \sum_{n \geq 1} n^{-2} = \frac{1}{6}\pi^2$ is irrational, and similarly for $\zeta(2n)$.
- ▶ Apéry (1978): $\zeta(3) = \sum_{n \geq 1} n^{-3}$ is irrational.
- ▶ The proof uses that the sequence

$$b_n := \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}^2 \binom{n+k}{k}^2$$

satisfies the recurrence

$$n^3 b_n + (n-1)^3 b_{n-2} = (34n^3 - 51n^2 + 27n - 5)b_{n-1}, \quad n \geq 2.$$

Generalizations of Zeilberger's Algorithm (Chyzak 1998, Schneider 2001)

- ▶ General idea: summand satisfies recurrences, not necessarily of first order
- ▶ Compute recurrence for the sum
- ▶ Works also for integrands that depend on a continuous parameter
- ▶ Compute differential equation for the integral

Example Gallery

$$\sum_{i+j+k=n} \binom{i+j}{i} \binom{j+k}{j} \binom{k+i}{k} = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{2k}{k}$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_n(x)y^n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-2xy+y^2}}$$

$$\int_{-1}^1 \frac{e^{-px} T_n(x)}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} dx = \pi(-1)^n I_n(p)$$

$$\int_0^{\infty} x e^{-px^2} J_{\nu}(ax) J_{\nu}(bx) dx = \frac{1}{2p} \exp\left(-\frac{a^2 + b^2}{4p}\right) \cdot I_{\nu}\left(\frac{ab}{2p}\right)$$

When is $0.999\dots$ equal to 1?

- ▶ Balogh and Pemantle (2004) came across the sum

$$S := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{H_j(H_{k+1} - 1)}{jk(k+1)(j+k)}$$

in a run time analysis of the simplex algorithm on a certain polytope. ($H_n := \sum_{k=1}^n 1/k$.)

- ▶ Easy bound: $0.999197 \leq S \leq 1.00093$.
- ▶ **Theorem** (Schneider 2006)

$$\begin{aligned} S &= -4\zeta(2) - 2\zeta(3) + 4\zeta(2)\zeta(3) + 2\zeta(5) \\ &= 0.999222\dots \end{aligned}$$

Proof: truncate the sums, find a closed form, pass to the limit

Further Uses of Recurrences

- ▶ Recurrences are not only useful for proving identities
- ▶ Rapid computation of sums and integrals
- ▶ Example: Recurrences for basis functions in higher order finite element schemes (Paule, Schöberl et al. 2006)
- ▶ Asymptotics via recurrences and differential equations for generating functions

From Recurrences to Asymptotics

- ▶ Weiss, Glebsky (2005): The number of limit states in a certain Schelling population model is

$$s(n) = 4 \sum_{k=1}^{2n} \binom{n-1}{k-1} \binom{n-k-1}{k-1} + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{2n} \binom{n-1}{k} \binom{n-k-1}{k-1} \\ + \text{three similar sums.}$$

- ▶ What is the behaviour as $n \rightarrow \infty$?
- ▶ Let

$$a(n) := 4 \sum_{k=1}^{2n} \binom{n-1}{k-1} \binom{n-k-1}{k-1}$$

denote the first sum.

From Recurrences to Asymptotics

- ▶ Generating function

$$A(z) := \sum_{n \geq 0} a(n)z^n.$$

- ▶ Zeilberger's algorithm \Rightarrow recurrence for $a(n) \Rightarrow$ ODE for $A(z)$.
- ▶ Fuchs-Frobenius theory yields

$$A(z) \sim \text{const} \cdot (1 - 3z)^{-1/2}, \quad z \rightarrow \frac{1}{3}^-.$$

- ▶ Singularity analysis (Flajolet, Odlyzko 1990) then gives

$$a(n) \sim \text{const} \cdot 3^n / \sqrt{n}, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Automatic Proofs of Inequalities (M. Kauers, SG 2005)

- ▶ Inequality must depend on some discrete parameter n
- ▶ Quantities must satisfy polynomial recurrences
- ▶ Induction step is reduced to CAD (Cylindrical Algebraic Decomposition)
- ▶ Check finitely many initial values

Turán's Inequality for Legendre Polynomials

- ▶ Turán (1946):

$$\Delta_n(x) := P_n(x)^2 - P_{n-1}(x)P_{n+1}(x) \geq 0, \quad x \in [-1, 1], n \geq 1.$$

- ▶ Introduce real variables N, Y_{-1}, Y_0, Y_1, Y_2 representing $n, P_{n-1}(x), P_n(x), P_{n+1}(x), P_{n+2}(x)$
- ▶ Sufficient condition for induction step:

$$\begin{aligned} \forall N, X, Y_{-1}, Y_0, Y_1, Y_2 \in \mathbb{R} : & (N \geq 1 \wedge -1 \leq X \leq 1 \wedge \\ & (N+2)Y_2 = -(N+1)Y_0 + (3X+2NX)Y_1 \wedge \\ & (N+1)Y_1 = -NY_{-1} + (X+2NX)Y_0) \\ \implies & (Y_0^2 - Y_{-1}Y_1 \geq 0 \implies Y_1^2 - Y_0Y_2 \geq 0). \end{aligned}$$

Turán's Inequality: Refinements

- ▶ SG, Kauers (2005):

$$|x|P_n(x)^2 - P_{n-1}(x)P_{n+1}(x) \geq 0, \quad x \in [-1, 1], \quad n \geq 1,$$

- ▶ Alzer, SG, Kauers (2006):

$$\alpha_n(1-x^2) \leq P_n(x)^2 - P_{n-1}(x)P_{n+1}(x) \leq \beta_n(1-x^2)$$

with the best possible factors

$$\alpha_n = \mu_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \mu_{\lfloor (n+1)/2 \rfloor} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_n = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \mu_n := 2^{-2n} \binom{2n}{n}.$$

Other Inequalities

The following inequalities can be proven automatically:

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^n x_k y_k\right)^2 \leq \sum_{k=1}^n x_k^2 \sum_{k=1}^n y_k^2 \quad (\text{Cauchy-Schwarz})$$

$$(x+1)^n \geq 1 + n \cdot x, \quad n \geq 0, x \geq -1 \quad (\text{Bernoulli})$$

$$\prod_{k=1}^n (1 - a_k) > 1 - \sum_{k=1}^n a_k, \quad 0 < a_k < 1, \sum_{k=1}^n a_k < 1 \quad (\text{Weierstra\ss})$$

$$\sqrt{n - \frac{3}{4}} \leq a_n - \frac{1}{2} \leq \sqrt{n + \frac{1}{4}}, \quad a_1 = 1, a_{n+1} = 1 + \frac{n}{a_n}$$

Conclusion

- ▶ Symbolic methods are useful for working with special functions
- ▶ Classical Tables like Abramowitz-Stegun can be partially reproduced by computer algebra
- ▶ NIST's Digital Library of Mathematical Functions will have a chapter on these methods (Chyzak, Paule)