

PATHWISE LARGE DEVIATIONS FOR THE ROUGH BERGOMI MODEL: CORRIGENDUM[‡]

STEFAN GERHOLD (1),*** TU Wien ANTOINE JACQUIER,*** ***** MIKKO PAKKANEN,*** ***** AND HENRY STONE,*** Imperial College THOMAS WAGENHOFER,* TU Wien

Abstract

This note corrects an error in the definition of the rate function in Jacquier, Pakkanen, and Stone (2018) and slightly simplifies some proofs.

Keywords: Rough volatility; asymptotics; reproducing kernel Hilbert space

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 60F10

Secondary 60G22; 91G20; 60G15

1. Corrected rate function

Note that the correct rate function also appears in the PhD thesis [3] (see Proposition 1.4.18), but with a different proof. We first give a slightly simplified proof of [1, Theorem 3.1]. Any unexplained notation is as in [1].

Let $Y:=\int_0^{\cdot} \varphi(u,\cdot) dW_u$ be the Gaussian process from that theorem, and $K_Y:\mathcal{C}^* \to \mathcal{C}$ its covariance operator (definition in [2, p. 5]). As noted in [1], \mathcal{I}^{φ} is injective by Titchmarsh's convolution theorem. By the factorization theorem [2, Theorem 4.1] and the discussion in [2, pp. 32–33], it suffices to verify the factorization identity $\mathcal{I}^{\varphi}(\mathcal{I}^{\varphi})^* = K_Y$ to conclude that the reproducing kernel Hilbert space (RKHS) is the image $\mathcal{I}^{\varphi}(L^2([0, 1]))$. By Fubini's theorem, we have $(\mathcal{I}^{\varphi})^*\mu = \int_0^1 \varphi(\cdot, t)\mu(dt)$ for any measure $\mu \in \mathcal{C}^*$. We then compute, for $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{C}^*$,

$$\mu \left(\mathcal{I}^{\varphi} (\mathcal{I}^{\varphi})^* v \right) = \int_0^1 \int_0^t \varphi(u, t) \int_u^1 \varphi(u, s) \, v(\mathrm{d}s) \, \mathrm{d}u \, \mu(\mathrm{d}t)$$

$$= \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^{s \wedge t} \varphi(u, t) \varphi(u, s) \, \mathrm{d}u \, v(\mathrm{d}s) \, \mu(\mathrm{d}t)$$

$$= \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \mathbb{E}[Y_t Y_s] \, v(\mathrm{d}s) \, \mu(\mathrm{d}t) = \mathbb{E}[\mu(Y) v(Y)],$$

which proves the theorem.

Received 14 October 2020; revision received 2 December 2020; accepted 4 December 2020.

^{*} Postal address: Wiedner Hauptstrasse 8-10, 1040 Vienna, Austria

^{**} Email address: sgerhold@fam.tuwien.ac.at

^{***} Postal address: Imperial College, South Kensington Campus, London SW7 2AZ, UK

^{****} Email address: a.jacquier@imperial.ac.uk

^{*****} Email address: m.pakkanen@imperial.ac.uk

[‡] The online version of this article has been updated since original publication. A notice detailing the changes has also been published at https://doi.org/10.1017/jpr.2018.72

[©] The Author(s), 2021. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of Applied Probability Trust.

850 S. GERHOLD ET AL.

The second definition in [1, (2.3)] should be replaced by the following one.

Definition 1. For $\Phi: \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$, define $\mathcal{I}^{\Phi}: L^2([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^2) \to L^2([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^2)$ by

$$\mathcal{I}^{\Phi} f := \int_0^{\cdot} \Phi(u, \cdot) f(u) \, \mathrm{d}u.$$

The following theorem replaces [1, Theorem 3.2].

Theorem 1. Let φ_1, φ_2 satisfy [1, Assumption 3.1], and define $Y_i := \int_0^{\cdot} \varphi_i(u, \cdot) dW_u^i$, i = 1, 2, where W^1 and W^2 are standard Brownian motions with correlation parameter $\rho \in (-1, 1)$. Then, the RKHS of (Y_1, Y_2) is $\mathcal{H}^{\Phi} := \{\mathcal{I}^{\Phi}f : f \in L^2([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^2)\}$, with inner product $\langle \mathcal{I}^{\Phi}f, \mathcal{I}^{\Phi}g \rangle = \langle f, g \rangle$, where

$$\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1 & 0 \\ \rho \varphi_2 & \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} \varphi_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. Analogous to the proof above. Injectiveness of \mathcal{I}^{Φ} follows from the Titchmarsh convolution theorem. We have $(\mathcal{I}^{\Phi})^*\mu = \int_{\cdot}^{1} \Phi^{\top}(\cdot, t)\mu(\mathrm{d}t)$ for any measure $\mu \in (\mathcal{C}^2)^*$. The factorization identity $\mathcal{I}^{\Phi}(\mathcal{I}^{\Phi})^* = K_{Y_1, Y_2}$ is verified as above.

Theorem 1 implies the following corollary, which replaces [1, Corollary 3.2].

Corollary 1. The RKHS of the measure induced on C^2 by the process (Z,B) is \mathcal{H}^{Ψ} , where

$$\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} K_{\alpha} & 0 \\ \rho & \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Consequently, $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\Psi}}$ should replace $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}^{K_{\alpha}}_{\rho}}$ in line 4 of p. 1083 and in the proof of [1, Theorem 2.1] on p. 1088. The special case $\rho = 0$ requires no separate treatment, and the result agrees with [1, Section 5].

2. Minor corrections

- 1. On p. 1079, last line of the introduction: replace \int_0^1 by \int_0^1 .
- 2. On p. 1084, definition of topological dual: add 'continuous' before 'linear functionals'.
- 3. On p. 1085, second displayed formula: after the second =, replace f by $\Gamma(f^*)$.
- 4. In the statement of Theorem 3.4, $\varepsilon\mu$ should be replaced by $\mu(\varepsilon^{-1/2} \cdot)$. The speed $\varepsilon^{-\beta}$ resulting from the application of the theorem on p. 1088 is correct, though.
- 5. First line of p. 1089: Replace $v_0^{1+\beta}$ by $v_0 \varepsilon^{1+\beta}$. To make the estimate work for t=0, confine ε to the finite interval [0,1] instead of \mathbb{R}^+ in line -4 of p. 1088.

References

- [1] JACQUIER, A., PAKKANEN, M. S. AND STONE, H. (2018). Pathwise large deviations for the rough Bergomi model. *J. Appl. Prob.* **55**, 1078–1092.
- [2] LIFSHITS, M. (2012). Lectures on Gaussian Processes. Springer, Heidelberg.
- [3] STONE, H. (2019). Rough volatility models: small-time asymptotics and calibration. PhD thesis. Imperial College.