Allocation of Risk Capital and Performance Measurement

Uwe Schmock Research Director of RiskLab Department of Mathematics ETH Zürich, Switzerland

Joint work with Daniel Straumann, RiskLab

http://www.math.ethz.ch/~schmock http://www.risklab.ch

The allocation problem

originated from an audit on RAC methods used by a large Swiss insurance company.

Given risk bearing capital C > 0for a financial institution, how to allocate it to business units for

- measurement of risk contributions (for risk management),
- performance measurement (for steering the company),
- determination of bonuses for the management?

Further financial applications:

- Portfolios of defaultable bonds
- Portfolios of credit risks

Allocation principles for risk capital:

Criteria:

- Respect dependencies (insurance/reinsurance, windstorm in several countries)
- Additive
- Computable for a portfolio of thousands of contracts
- "Fair" distribution of diversification effect

Investigated examples:

- Euler principle, Covariance principle
- Expected shortfall principle

Notation for Euler Principle

- Dependent risks $Z = (Z_1, \ldots, Z_n)$
- Volumes $V = (V_1, \ldots, V_n)$
- $R_i \equiv V_i Z_i$ result of business unit *i*
- Company result $R \equiv \langle V, Z \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{n} V_i Z_i$
- Risk measure $\varrho \colon \mathbb{R}^n \ni V \mapsto \varrho(V)$
- Expected risk-adjusted return $r(\varrho, V) = \mathbb{E}[\langle V, Z \rangle]/\varrho(V)$
- $\alpha_i(\varrho, V)$ fraction of capital allocated to unit $i, \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i(\varrho, V) = 1$
- Expected risk-adjusted return of unit *i*:

$$r_i(\alpha, \varrho, V) \equiv \frac{\mathbb{E}[V_i Z_i]}{\alpha_i(\varrho, V)\varrho(V)}$$

Euler Principle

Def.: An allocation $A = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$ is called consistent, if for the optimal portfolio $V = (V_1, \ldots, V_n)$ all individual returns are equal to the optimal company return.

Thm.: If the risk measure ρ is differentiable and positively homogeneous, then an optimal portfolio exists and

$$\alpha_i(\varrho, V) \equiv \frac{V_i}{\varrho(V)} \frac{\partial \varrho}{\partial V_i}(V)$$

for V with $\rho(V) \neq 0$ is consistent.

For V optimal and $\varrho(V) \equiv -\mathbb{E}[\langle V, Z \rangle] + \kappa \sqrt{\operatorname{Var}[\langle V, Z \rangle]}$ \implies covariance principle

Expected shortfall principle:

- Stochastic gains of the business units: $R_1, R_2, \ldots, R_n \in L^1(\mathbb{P})$
- Profit and loss of the financial institution: $R \equiv R_1 + \cdots + R_n$
- Capital loss threshold c(for example α -quantile r_{α} of R)

Capital allocation:

$$\mathbb{E}[-R | R \le c] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}[-R_i | R \le c],$$

where

- $\mathbb{E}[-R | R \leq c]$ is the risk capital of the entire financial institution,
- $\mathbb{E}[-R_i | R \leq c]$ is the risk capital assigned to business unit *i*.

• $X \in L^1(\mathbb{P}), F_X(c) > 0$:

$$\mathbb{E}[X | X \le c] = \frac{1}{F_X(c)} \int_{-\infty}^c x F_X(dx)$$

• $X_1, \dots, X_n \in L^1(\mathbb{P})$ exchangeable, $X \equiv X_1 + \dots + X_n, F_X(c) > 0$: $\mathbb{E}[X_i | X \le c] = \mathbb{E}[X_j | X \le c]$ $= \frac{\mathbb{E}[X | X \le c]}{\mathbb{E}[X | X \le c]}$

$${\mathcal N}$$

• $X, Y \in L^{1}(\mathbb{P})$ independent, $F_{X+Y}(c) = (F_{X} * F_{Y})(c) > 0$: $\mathbb{E}[X | X + Y \leq c]$ $= \frac{1}{F_{X+Y}(c)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} x F_{Y}(c-x) F_{X}(dx)$

Generalises to indep. X_1, \ldots, X_n .

• $X, Y \in L^1(\mathbb{P})$ comonoton, $F_{X+Y}(c) > 0$:

For $Z \equiv X + Y$ there exist cont., non-decreasing $u, v \colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that X = u(Z), Y = v(Z) and u(z) + v(z) = z for all $z \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$\mathbb{E}[X | X + Y \le c] = \mathbb{E}[u(Z) | Z \le c]$$
$$= \frac{1}{F_Z(c)} \int_{-\infty}^c u(z) F_Z(dz).$$

Generalises to jointly comonoton $X_1, \ldots, X_n \in L^1(\mathbb{P}).$

•
$$\{(X_i, Y_i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subset L^1(\mathbb{P}) \text{ i. i. d.},$$

 $X_i, Y_i \text{ comonoton},$
 $N \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda),$
 $N \text{ independent of } \{(X_i, Y_i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}:$

Write $X_i = u(Z_i)$ and $Y_i = v(Z_i)$ with $Z_i \equiv X_i + Y_i$,

$$S_n \equiv X_1 + \dots + X_n,$$
$$T_n \equiv Z_1 + \dots + Z_n.$$

If
$$F_{T_N}(c) > 0$$
, then

$$\mathbb{E}[S_N | T_N \leq c]$$

$$= \frac{\lambda}{F_{T_N}(c)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} u(z) F_{T_N}(c-z) F_Z(dz).$$

 F_Z discrete $\implies F_{T_N}$ computable with Panjer algorithm

Advantages of expected shortfall:

- Takes frequency and severity of financial losses into account (contrary to VaR)
- Respects dependencies
- Additive
- One-sided risk measure (no capital required for a free lottery ticket)
- $\mathbb{E}[R_i | R \leq c]$ is in the convex hull of the possible values of R_i

Problems of expected shortfall:

- Dependence on tails, which are difficult to estimate in practice.
- Delicate dependence on the loss threshold *c* for small portfolios and discrete distributions.

Recent related work:

On the Coherent Allocation of Risk Capital by Michel Denault RiskLab, ETH Zürich

Combination of

- coherent risk measures (ADEH)
- ideas from game theory

Risk Contributions and Performance Measurement

> by Dirk Tasche TU Munich, Germany

Conditions on a vector field (for improving risk adjusted return) to be suitable for performance measurement with a risk measure ϱ

Recent related work:

On the Coherent Allocation of Risk Capital by Michel Denault RiskLab, ETH Zürich

Combination of

- coherent risk measures (ADEH)
- ideas from game theory

 \longrightarrow Next talk

Risk Contributions and Performance Measurement by Dirk Tasche TU Munich, Germany

 \longrightarrow Talk at ETH: Nov. 18, 1999