

Optimal dividend payments problem under time of ruin constraints: Exponential case (Talk)

Camilo Hernández ^{*}
Joint work with Mauricio Junca [†]

June 9, 2014

Mathematical Finance with Applications in Insurance

The idea of this work is to study a way to link the two standard problems in the optimal dividend payment theory: the maximization of profits and the minimization of the probability of ruin.

The ideal set up of this idea will be:

$$\sup_{D \in \Theta} V^D(x) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad X_t^D = x + ct - \sum_{i=1}^{N_t} Y_i - D_t \quad \text{and,} \quad \varphi_D(x) \leq \epsilon$$

Where $V^D(x) := \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^{\tau^D} e^{-\delta t} dD_t \right]$ represents the typical value function of the profit maximization problem when the dividend strategy D_t is followed, D_t being a non-negative, non-decreasing and adapted cadlag process. τ^D is the time of ruin, i.e. $\tau^D = \inf\{t \geq 0 : X_t^D < 0\}$. X_t^D models the accumulation process, which follows the classical Cramer-Lundberg equation, when strategy D_t is followed. D_t represents the cumulative dividend process. N_t represents the claim arrival process which is assumed to follow a Poisson process with intensity λ and claims Y_i iid with distribution $G(y)$. Finally, $\varphi_D(x)$ would be the probability of ruin function when strategy D_t is followed, i.e. $\varphi_D(x) := Pr\{\tau^D < \infty\}$. However, this problem remains open.

^{*}Mathematics Department. Universidad de los Andes, Bogotá, Colombia. mc.hernandez131 at uniandes.edu.co

[†]Mathematics Department. Universidad de los Andes, Bogotá, Colombia. mj.junca20 at unian-des.edu.co

In this paper we study the following problem:

$$(P1) \quad V(x) := \sup_{D \in \Theta} V^D(x) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad X_t^D = x + ct - \sum_{i=1}^{N_t} Y_i - D_t$$

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^{\tau^D} e^{-\delta s} ds \right] \geq \int_0^T e^{-\delta s} ds \quad T \text{ fixed,}$$

where all the variables are as above. However, we assume the claim sizes $\{Y_i\}$ have exponential distribution with intensity α . The motivation behind such a constrain is that it imposes a restriction on the time of ruin.

In order to do so, we use Duality Theory. Hence, we can use Lagrange multipliers to obtain the following *Lagrange Dual* function and our problem becomes:

$$(P2) \quad \mathcal{V}_\Lambda(x) := \sup_{D \in \Theta} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^{\tau^D} e^{-\delta t} dD_t + \Lambda \int_0^{\tau^D} e^{-\delta t} dt \right] - \Lambda \int_0^T e^{-\delta s} ds$$

Since the last term does not depend on D and is linear on Λ we will first focus on the first term on the right hand side of this equation. For this term, it is known [1] that its solution $\mathcal{V}_\Lambda(x)$ must satisfy the following HJB equation

$$(1) \quad \max \left\{ \Lambda + cV'(x) + \lambda \int_0^x V(x-y) dG(y) - (\lambda + \delta)V(x), 1 - V'(x) \right\} = 0,$$

and to have a barrier strategy b^* as optimal strategy. We deduce that the optimal value of b^* can be derived from the equation

$$(2) \quad (r_2 - r_1)(\alpha + r_1)(\alpha + r_2)\Lambda = -r_1 e^{-r_2 b} (r_1(\lambda + \delta) + \alpha\delta) + r_2 e^{-r_1 b} (r_2(\lambda + \delta) + \alpha\delta),$$

where r_1 and r_2 depend on the parameters of the model. Note that $\Lambda = 0$ leads to

$$r_1 e^{r_1 b} (r_1(\lambda + \delta) + \alpha\delta) = r_2 e^{r_2 b} (r_2(\lambda + \delta) + \alpha\delta)$$

which is consistent with the standard problem without constraint [2]. The following proposition about the barrier level can be proved.

Proposition 1. *Assume c, α, δ are not zero. Equation (2) has a unique real solution for b .*

We also prove the following:

Theorem 2. *The value function of (P2) is given by: if $\alpha\lambda(c + \Lambda) - (\delta + \lambda)^2 \leq 0$*

$$\mathcal{V}_\Lambda(x) = x + \frac{c + \Lambda}{\lambda + \delta} + \frac{\Lambda}{\delta} e^{-\delta T}$$

if $\alpha\lambda(c + \Lambda) - (\delta + \lambda)^2 > 0$

$$(3) \quad \mathcal{V}_\Lambda(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{\alpha c - \lambda - \delta}{\alpha \delta} + x - b + \frac{\Lambda}{\delta} e^{-\delta T} & \text{if } x \geq b^* \\ C_1 e^{r_1 x} + C_2 e^{r_2 x} + \frac{\Lambda}{\delta} e^{-\delta T} & \text{if } x \leq b^* \end{cases}$$

In order to find out the solution to (P1) we have the following proposition.

Proposition 3. *For each $x \geq 0$ there exists (Λ^*, D^*) , $\Lambda^* \geq 0$ and D^* the optimal strategy for (P2) with Λ^* , such that $\mathbb{E}[\int_0^{T^{D^*}} e^{-\delta s} ds] = \int_0^T e^{-\delta s} ds$.*

As a consequence we have the main theorem:

Theorem 4. *Let $V(x)$ and $\mathcal{V}_\Lambda(x)$ be the optimal solution to (P1) and (P2), respectively, then: $\inf_{\Lambda \geq 0} \mathcal{V}_\Lambda(x) = V(x)$.*

References

- [1] Thonhauser, Stefan. Albrecher, Hansjorg. 2007. *Dividend maximization under consideration of the time value of ruin*. Insurance: Mathematics and Economics 41 (2007). Pag: 163 - 184.
- [2] Schmidli, Hanspeter. 2008. *Stochastic Control in Insurance*. Probability and Its Applications. Applied Probability Trust. Springer.