

# Stochastic Models in Credit Portfolio Management

CRM 2001- Vienna

Ludger Overbeck

Risk Research & Development

Group Risk Management

Deutsche Bank AG

Frankfurt, Germany

# Overview

- Multiple obligors ( upto 100.000 or more)
- Losses caused by defaults

## Two main models

- Static one period model, i.e. only defaults in one year.

Risk Management

Risk Capital Allocation

Pricing/Valuation of Collateralized Loan/Bond Obligations, ABS/CMBS and their first loss positions.

- Modeling the default times, Pricing of Basket Credit Derivatives and also CLO structures and tranches.

# Basic Model

Random variable describing defaults:

$$L = \sum_{i=1}^m l_i 1_{D_i}$$

$m$  = # of counterparties

$D_i$  = Default of counterparty

$l_i$  = Loss in the event of default

= Exposure \* Loss Given Default

The exposure is viewed as a loan equivalent exposure, if the transaction with a counterparty is a traded product (Average Expected Exposure)

Random Variable if credit migration is also considered:

$$L = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{r=1}^k l_i^r \mathbf{1}_{D_i^r}$$

$k$  is the number of rating classes and  $l_i^r$  is the loss if counterparty  $i$  migrates from its rating class today  $r(i)$  to rating class  $r$ . Assuming a continuous rating  $r \in R$  the general formula is

$$L = \sum_{i=1}^m l_i^{r_i(\omega)},$$

where  $r_i(\omega)$  is the random rating of counterparty  $i$  at time 1.

More general for each time  $t$ , we have

$$L_t(\omega) = \sum_{i=1}^m l_i(t, \omega, r_{t,i}(\omega))$$

Here  $r_{t,i}(\omega)$  is the rating of counterparty  $i$  at time  $t$  and  $l_i(t, \omega, r)$  is the loss of counterparty  $i$  at time  $t$  if  $i$  is in rating  $r$ .

# Joint Default Probabilities

The determination of the probability of joint defaults of each pair of obligors is important. Single Defaults are derived from relative frequency of defaults in uniform segments.

$$P[D_i] = \frac{\# \text{ Defaulted members in Segment A}}{\text{All members in Segment A}}$$

Joint Defaults?

$$\begin{aligned} &P[\text{CP in Seg A defaults, CP in Seg B defaults}] \\ &= \frac{\#?}{\#???} \end{aligned}$$

For joint defaults a model is needed!

# Multivariate Ability to Pay

$(A_1^{(i)})_{i=1,\dots,m}$  = "Ability to pay" at year one of the vector of obligors.

Default in one year is then be defined by the event

$$D_i = \{A_1^{(i)} < C^{(i)}\},$$

where  $C^{(i)}$  is a calibrated "Default Point".

*Remark: If the counterparty is an exchange traded firm, then  $A_t^{(i)}$  = Value of firm's assets =  $F(E, L, t)$  where*

$$\begin{aligned} E &= (E_t)_{t \geq 0} \text{ value of equities} \\ L &= (L_t)_{t \leq 0} \text{ Liability Process.} \end{aligned}$$

*The function  $F$  may be derived by modeling the equity as a contingent claim on the firm ( Call option as in Merton '74) or vice versa. "Default Point" = Function of Liabilities.*

## Joint Defaults if A is normal

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{JDP}_{ij} \\
 := & P \left[ A_1^{(i)} < C^{(i)}, A_1^{(j)} < C^{(j)} \right] \\
 = & \int_{-\infty}^{N^{-1}(P[D_i])} \int_{-\infty}^{N^{-1}(P[D_j])} \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{(1-r_{ij}^2)}} \\
 & \exp \left( \frac{1}{2(1-r_{ij})} (x_1^2 + x_2^2 - 2r_{ij}x_1x_2) \right) dx_1 dx_2
 \end{aligned}$$

Default correlation

$$\begin{aligned}
 \rho_{ij} &= \text{corr}(\mathbf{1}_{\{A_1^{(i)} < C^{(i)}\}}, \mathbf{1}_{\{A_1^{(j)} < C^{(j)}\}}) \\
 &= \frac{\text{JDP}_{ij} - P[D_i]P[D_j]}{\sqrt{P[D_i](1-P[D_i])P[D_j](1-P[D_j])}}
 \end{aligned}$$

# Loss Distribution

## Uniform Portfolio

$p_i = p, l_i = 1 \ \forall \ i = 1, \dots, m$  and  $r_{ij} = r \ \forall \ i, j = 1, \dots, m, \ i \neq j$ . Zerlege

$$W_t^i = \sqrt{r}B_t^0 + \sqrt{1-r}B_t^i,$$

where  $B^j, j = 0, \dots, m$  are independent Brownian Motions.

Conditioning on the systematic factor  $B_1^0$  yields



for the percentage portfolio loss:  $P[L = \frac{k}{m}] =$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \binom{m}{k} P \left[ A_1^1 < C_1, \dots, A_1^k < C_k, \right. \\
& \quad \left. A_1^{k+1} > C_{k+1}, \dots, A_1^m > C_m \right] \\
&= \binom{m}{k} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P \left[ A_1^i < C_i, i = 1, \dots, k, \right. \\
& \quad \left. A_1^j > C_j, j = k + 1, \dots, m \mid B_1^0 = x \right] P[B_1^0 \in dx] \\
&= \binom{m}{k} \int P \left[ B_1^i < \frac{\ln \frac{C_i}{A_0^i} - (\mu_i - \frac{1}{2}\sigma_i^2) - \sigma_i \sqrt{r}x}{\sqrt{(1-r)} \cdot \sigma_i}, \right. \\
& \quad \left. i = 1, \dots, k, \right. \\
& \quad \left. B_1^i > \frac{\ln \frac{C_i}{A_0^i} - (\mu_i - \frac{1}{2}\sigma_i^2) - \sigma_i \sqrt{\rho}x}{\sqrt{(1-r)}\sigma_i}, i = k + 1, \dots, m \right] \\
& \quad P[B_1^0 \in dx] \\
&= \binom{m}{k} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi \left( -\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-r}}(c + \sqrt{r}x) \right)^k \\
& \quad \cdot \left( 1 - \Phi \left( -\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-r}}(c + \sqrt{\rho}x) \right) \right)^{m-k} \Phi(dx).
\end{aligned}$$

In the last equation

$$c = c_i = \frac{1}{\sigma_i}(\ln(A_0^i/C_i) + \mu_i - \frac{1}{2}\sigma_i^2),$$

since  $c_i = c = \Phi^{-1}(p)$ .

**Limiting distribution**  $m \rightarrow \infty$

$$\begin{aligned} & F_m(\theta) \\ &= P[\text{percentage loss} < \theta] \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{[m\theta]} P[\text{percentage loss} = k/m] \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{[m\theta]} \binom{m}{k} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi\left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-r}}(c + \sqrt{r}x)\right)^k \\ &\quad \left(1 - \Phi\left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-r}}(c + \sqrt{r}x)\right)\right)^{m-k} \Phi(dx) \end{aligned}$$

Substitution

$$s = s(x) = \Phi \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-r}} \cdot (\Phi^{-1}(p) - \sqrt{r} \cdot x) \right)$$

yields

$$F_m(\theta) = \sum_{k=0}^{[m\theta]} \binom{m}{k} \int_0^1 s^k (1-s)^{m-k} d\Phi \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} \cdot (\sqrt{1-r} \cdot \Phi^{-1}(s) - \Phi^{-1}(p)) \right)$$

Because of the law of large numbers

$$\sum_{k=0}^{[m\theta]} \binom{m}{k} s^k (1-s)^{m-k} \rightarrow \mathbf{1}_{(0,\theta]}(s), 0 < s < 1$$

we obtain the density of the limiting distribution

$$\frac{\sqrt{1-r}}{\sqrt{r}} \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2r} \cdot (\sqrt{1-r} \cdot \Phi^{-1}(s) - \Phi^{-1}(p))^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\Phi^{-1}(s))^2 \right].$$

# Applications

## Basket Credit Derivatives / Synthetic CDO's

Basic Concepts: "Sell" the risk of a subportfolio to the investors.

In mathematical terms: The holder of a tranche, that covers the losses between e.g.  $\alpha\%$  and  $\beta\%$  might have - depending on the contract specification - the (percentage) expected loss ( $\sim$  spread)

$$s = \int \frac{(x - \alpha)^+ \wedge (\beta - \alpha)}{(\beta - \alpha)} f_{p,\rho}(x) dx.$$

Since the overall expected loss (in percentage) is  $p$  we can obtain  $\rho$ .

Extending the above argument to portfolio consisting of many uniform portfolios we might

try to derive implied correlations from a set of equations

$$s_i = \int \frac{(x - \alpha_i)^+ \wedge (\alpha_{i+1} - \alpha_i)}{(\alpha_{i+1} - \alpha_i)} f_{p_1, \dots, p_k, \rho_1, \dots, \rho_q}(x) dx,$$

$i = 1, \dots, l$ . Here  $s_i$  is the spread of tranche  $i$  with boundaries  $\alpha_i, \alpha_{i+1}$ .

# Economic Capital

Economic Capital is usually defined to be a quantile of the distribution of  $L$  minus the mean of  $L$ .

$$EC(\alpha) = q_{\alpha}(L) - E[L].$$

Assuming that the returns on a single asset in the portfolio are joint normal distributed, the portfolio return  $L$  is also normal. Then the economic capital is also given by multiples of the standard deviation. These multipliers  $CM$  are also called “Capital Multipliers”.

$$EC(\alpha) = \sigma(L) * CM(\alpha).$$

## Alternative Capital Definition

In light of the non-normality another Capital Definition should be considered. Economic Capital viewed as a Risk Measures should also satisfy the *Coherency Axioms* formulated by Artzner et al. A prominent example of a coherent risk measure is similar to a kind of lower partial moment

$$C(L) := E[L|L > K],$$

where  $K$  is a threshold, used to define "Large Losses", e.g.

$K = q_{\alpha'}(L)$ , then  $C(L)$  is coherent.

$K$  = fraction of equity capital

$K$  = experienced large losses

# Contributory Economic Capital

This capital definition yields also a new definition of contributory economic capital

$$C_i(L) := E[\mathbf{1}_{D_i} | L > K].$$

Average contribution of counterparty  $i$  to the portfolio loss, when large losses occur.

**Theorem:**

- $C(L) = \sum_{i=1}^m l_i C_i$
- If  $K \notin \{\sum_{k=1}^n l_{i_k} | \{i_1, \dots, i_n\} \subset \{1, \dots, m\}\}$  then

$$C_i = \frac{\partial C(L)}{\partial^+ l_i}$$

- $C_i$  is a coherent risk measure on the probability space generated by the portfolio.



# Remarks

- $C_i < 1$
- These figures are a by-product if the loss distribution is generated by a Monte-Carlo-Simulation.
- First statistics of the distribution of  $L_i$  given  $L > K$ . Other statistics like variance could be useful. ( Conditional variance is probably not coherent.)
- Definition reflects a causality relation. If counterparty  $i$  adds more to the overall loss than counterparty  $j$  in bad situations for the bank, also business with  $i$  should be more costly ( assuming stand alone risk characteristics are the same).

- A function  $C$  is a coherent risk measure iff  $C$  is a generalized scenario, i.e. there is a set of probability measures  $\mathcal{Q}$  such that

$$C(X) = \sup\{E^Q[X] | Q \in \mathcal{Q}\}.$$

Since  $L, L_i \geq 0$  the capital allocation rule carries over to all coherent risk measures.

# Simulation Study

Portfolio of 40 counterparties with 5 year default probabilities. New capital allocation rule based on shortfall risk changes the order of capital consumption.

In the classical approach the contributory economic capital for transaction  $i$  is defined by

$$\gamma_i = (q_\alpha(L) - E[L]) \frac{\text{cov}(l_i \mathbf{1}_{D_i}, L)}{\sigma^2(L)}$$

# Default Times

Given a default curve  $p_i(t)$ ,  $t > 0$  for each obligor, where  $p_i$  is a strictly increasing function  $(0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ .

Associated random variable  $T^{(i)}$  is the default time of obligor  $i$ :

$$P[T^{(i)} \leq t] = p_i(t).$$

Goals: Construct  $T^{(i)}$  as a simple first hitting time of a process  $Y$ , the ability to pay process.

Condition (\*)

$$\begin{aligned} \exists Y^{(i)} &= (Y_s^{(i)})_{s \geq 0}, C \in \mathbf{R}, \\ \text{s.t. with } T_{C, Y^{(i)}} &:= \inf\{s | Y_s^{(i)} \leq C\} \\ P[T_{C, Y^{(i)}} \leq t] &= p_i(t) \end{aligned}$$

## Correlation

Condition (\*\*): Given a set of one year joint default probabilities  $(p_{ij})_{i,j=1,\dots,m}$  we have

$$P[T_{C,Y(i)} \leq 1, T_{C,Y(j)} \leq 1] = p_{ij}, \\ \forall i, j = 1, \dots, m, i \neq j.$$

Ansatz: Try to find a transformation  $Y = F(W)$  of a Brownian motion  $W$  with correlation matrix  $(\rho_{ij})_{i,j=1,\dots,m}$  such that (\*) and (\*\*) are satisfied.

**Theorem.** *There exist a correlation matrix  $R$  and a vector of time changes  $T_t^i$  such that  $Y$  defined by  $Y_t^i = W_{T_t^i}^i$ , where  $W$  is a Brownian motion with covariance matrix  $R$ , satisfies conditions (\*) and (\*\*).*

*Proof:* For a one-dimensional Brownian motion  $B$  the function

$$P[\inf_{s \leq t} B_s \leq C] = \tilde{f}(t, C)$$

is explicitly known, cf. Karatzas/Shreve:

$$\tilde{f}(t, C) = 2N(C/\sqrt{t}).$$

Therefore for each  $Y_t^i = W_{T_t^i}^i$  with a deterministic time change  $T_t^i$  we have

$$P[\inf_{s \leq t} Y_s^i \leq C] = \tilde{f}(T_t^i, C).$$

Hence a time change defined by

$$\left( \frac{N^{-1}(p_i(t)/2)}{b} \right)^{-2} =: T_t^i$$

yields condition (\*) for each  $i$ .

For a given correlation  $\rho_{ij}$  the joint default probability of two time changed Brownian motions equals

$$\begin{aligned}
 & P[T_{Y^i,C} \geq 1, T_{Y^j,C} \geq 1] \\
 &= \int_C^\infty \int_C^\infty \check{f}(x_1, x_2, \rho_{ij}, \min(T_1^i, T_1^j)) \\
 &\quad \left(1 - 2N\left(\frac{C - x_2}{\sqrt{\Delta}}\right)\right) dx_1 dx_2,
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\check{f}(x_1, x_2, \rho_{ij}, t)$  is the corresponding density without time change at time  $t$  ( as in the paper of Zhou on default correlation) and  $\Delta = \max(T_1^i, T_1^j) - \min(T_1^i, T_1^j)$ .  $\diamond$

## Remarks

- A transformation of Brownian motion based on deterministic time dependent volatility  $Y = \int \sigma dW$  can also be written as a time change of a Brownian motion  $B$ . Then condition (\*) can be met, however the correlation structure of  $B$  and  $W$  are different. Therefore the solution to condition (\*\*) seems open.

- Open Problem:

Find a non-random drift  $g^{(i)}$  such that with the definition

$$Y_t^i = W_t^i - \int_0^t g_s^i ds$$

conditions (\*) and (\*\*) can be met.



# Estimation of Correlation

- If Ability-to-Pay=Asset-Value and Asset-Value can be derived from equity time series and balance sheet information, the correlation can be obtained from time series.
- These firms provide factor model where non-listed firms can be embedded. Usually factor describing the systematic risk in the Ability-to-Pay is derived from balance sheet information (Sectors in which the company generates profits). It remains to determine the R-squared of the firms specific systematic factor.
- Large uniform retail portfolios

As above,  $p$ =average default probability,  $\rho$  average asset correlation:

- General Approach:

Use size of portfolios and consider losses  $L_i, i = 1, \dots, m$  in large subportfolios  $\mathcal{S}_i$ . Try to minimize KS-statistic of

$$\left( F_{\hat{L}_1, \dots, \hat{L}_i}^{\rho}(\hat{L}_i) \right)_{i=1, \dots, m}$$

or other statistics of the conditional distribution of losses in portfolio  $i$  given the losses in other portfolios.

Not yet implemented!

# Validation of credit risk models

## Default probabilities

$$P[D_i] = \text{Default Probabilities}$$

### Determination

#### 1. Step Rating

e.g. from 1="AAA", best creditworthiness  
to 10="C" worst

#### 2. Step Calibration

$$P[D_i] = \frac{\text{\#Defaults in Rating } j(i)}{\text{\#in Rating } j(i)}$$

Challenge: Validation of default probability, usually they are assumed to be independent. But there are *Dependent defaults*.

Confidence bound for estimator depends on correlation!

But final objective is validation of EC-quota!

# Validation

Is the EC quota correct? If the confidence level equals 99-98%, EC is only breeched in 1 out of 5000 years. You can't test this statistically!

## Possible Approaches

1. Analysis in many subportfolios, i.e. Cross-Sectional Data instead of time series

Problem: Subportfolios are correlated

Try to identify portfolios which are almost uncorrelated

More ideas.

Randomized Subportfolios to make them independent?

## 2. Parametric Bootstrap.

Generate under  $H_0$  many realisations of the "spatial" distribution of losses.

- Are these realisations "in the neighborhood" to the observed one?
- "Near" in the sense of point process distributions?

Statistical Tests about rejection of  $H_0$ , error probabilities

3. Parameter optimization (especially implied correlations), model selection, model validation with (non-parametric) bootstrap techniques or resampling of dependent data?

Literature:

Efron/Tibshirani Chapter 17: Cross-Validation and other estimates of prediction error.

Davison/Hinkley Bootstrap Methods and their Application

Chapter 8, Complex Dependence (incl. Spatial processes).